

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,799	08/28/2003		Jong Gun Kim	1594.1255 8309	
21171	7590	06/08/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & I SUITE 700	HALSEY	LLP	NGO, LIEN M		
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				3727	

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\epsilon$					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Assign Comment	10/649,799	KIM, JONG GUN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LIEN TM NGO	3727					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	<u> March 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐. This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi							
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-8 and 10-17 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-8 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,12,13 and 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 11 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanies and applicant may not request that any objection to the	cepted or b) objected to by the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/649,799 Page<sup>-</sup>2

Art Unit: 3727

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The allowable subject mater of claims 10, 12 and 13 have been withdrawn because the claims are rejected as the rejections as following:

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 10, 12 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Churchill (1,946,149). Churchill discloses, in figs. 2 and 3, a storage container comprising a plurality of locking units 3, 5 to lock the container at different adjustable sizes; wherein each of the locking units comprises a locking member 5 at a side of the container, a rotary member 3 mounted adjacent to the locking member and having a plurality of locking holes so that the locking member is inserted into one of the locking holes.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
   Churchill. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

Application/Control Number: 10/649,799 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

the invention was made to make the rotary member from an elastic material in order to enhance the locking member being elastically fitted into one of the locking holes, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2-8 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 2-8 have been allowed because the closes prior art (Churchill) does not disclose a locking member provided at a side of the cover, and a rotary member rotatably mounted at a side of the body.
- 8. Claims 11 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10, 12, 13 and 15-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LEE YOUNG can be reached on 571-272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LIEN TM NGO Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

White

June 7, 2005